arXiv:1612.02332v2 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 10 Feb 2017

Universal relations for a spin-polarized Fermi gas in two dimensions

Shi-Guo Peng*
State Key Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance and Atomic and Molecular Physics, Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China and Centre for Quantum and Optical Science, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne 3122, Australia

(Dated: February 13, 2017)

A debate has been raised recently on how to define the p-wave contacts to characterize the universal relations of a spin-polarized Fermi gas. This is because an additional contact related to the p-wave effective range needs to be introduced, besides the one related to the scattering volume as originally considered by Shina Tan in the s-wave case. It has been found that if one tries to define the contacts according to Tan's adiabatic relations, the subleading tail of the large-momentum distribution can not fully be captured, and an extra term is involved resulted from the center-of-mass motions of the pairs, which should be a general feature of strongly interacting Fermi gases near p-wave resonances. At present, how to amend the full set of p-wave universal relations remains elusive. In this work, we systematically investigate the full set of p-wave universal relations of a two-dimensional spin-polarized Fermi gas. We define the p-wave contacts according to the adiabatic relations. The leading behavior of the large-momentum distribution (k^{-2}) is fully captured by the contact related to the scattering area, while the extra center-of-mass term appears in the subleading tail (k^{-4}) besides the contact related to the effective range, as we anticipate. We show that such an extra center-of-mass term in the k^{-4} tail results in an additional divergence for Tan's energy theorem, which should carefully be removed. Fortunately, we find all the other universal relations, such as the high-frequency behavior of the radio-frequency response, short-range behavior of the pair correlation function, generalized virial theorem, and pressure relation, remain unaffected by the center-of-mass motions of the pairs, and are fully governed by the contacts defined by the adiabatic relations. Our results confirm the feasibility of generalizing the contact theory for higher-partial-wave scatterings, and could readily be confirmed in current experiments with ultracold ^{40}K and ^{6}Li atoms.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past decades, ultracold Fermi gases with short-range interactions have attracted a great deal of interests due to their unique properties [1, 2]. Especially, near scattering resonances, such systems manifest *universality*, when the scattering length a is much larger than all the other length scales. The many-body properties at long distance are primarily determined by a, and become irrelevant to the specific form of the short-range interatomic interactions [3]. For strongly interacting two-component Fermi gases with s-wave interactions, some universal relations that follow from the short-range behavior of the simple two-body physics were derived by Shina Tan, governing the key properties of many-body systems [4]. Afterwards, more universal relations were obtained [5]. All these relations are characterized by the only universal quantity named *contact*, and then the concept of contact becomes significantly important in ultracold atoms both theoretically and experimentally [6–16].

However, for higher partial waves, Tan's universal relations should be amended, since the short-distance behavior of interatomic interactions cannot simply be characterized by a single scattering parameter. More microscopic parameters need to be involved besides the scattering length (or scattering volume, or some quantity like that), such as the effective range, which may result in non-trivial corrections. As the simplest case of higher partial wave scatterings, the p-wave many-body systems have attracted both experimental and theoretical attention [17–28]. Considering the finite-range effect, more contacts are needed when generalizing the s-wave contact theory to the p-wave case [29–31]. A natural question then arises: how can we define the p-wave contacts, so that they can capture all the universal relations just as that in the s-wave contact theory? There are mainly two ways to define the p-wave contacts to date. One is to define the p-wave contacts simply according to the adiabatic relations. Two contacts can then be defined, following the adiabatic variation of the energy with respect to the scattering volume and to the effective range [29], respectively. However, such definitions of the p-wave contacts are not as elegant as we expect, since the subleading tail of the large-momentum distribution cannot fully be captured by the contact related to the effective range, and an extra term appears due to the center-of-mass (c.m.) motions of the pairs [31]. It has indeed been found experimentally that the measured contact related to the effective range cannot be regarded as the predicted contact by using adiabatic relations [32]. As a result, the applicability of the defined contacts are severely limited. In order to overcome such difficulties, another way of defining the p-wave contacts is introduced, according to the large-$\mathbf{k}$ behavior of the momentum distribution and the removing of the divergence of the internal energy [30]. However, the contacts defined in such a way may affect the remaining universal relations, such as the short-distance, large-momentum, or high-frequency be-

* pengshiguo@gmail.com

havior of correlation functions.

In this paper, we systematically study the full set of the p-wave universal relations, choosing the two-dimensional (2D) spin-polarized Fermi gas as the model system. We still define the contacts according to the adiabatic relations and find that the leading tail of the large-momentum distribution behaves as k^{-2}, and is fully described by the contact related to the scattering area. An extra term appears again in the subleading tail (k^{-4}) besides the contact related to the effective range, which is resulted from the c.m. motions of the pairs, as we anticipate. Such a c.m. effect of the pairs introduces an additional divergence for the kinetic energy of the system, besides those related to the contacts. One of the key results of our work is that all these divergences are exactly compensated by the interaction energy, and the total internal energy of the system still converges.

The high-frequency tail of the rf response of the system is also governed by the contacts, and is experimentally used as a way to measure the contacts. It links to the momentum distribution $n(\mathbf{k})$ as $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} n(\mathbf{k}) \delta\left(\hbar\omega - \hbar^2 k^2/M\right)$, as the rf frequency $\omega \to \infty$, a result first derived by Schneider and Randeria according to the properties of the spectral function [9]. Here $\hbar$ is the Planck's constant and M is the atomic mass. At first glance, the c.m. contribution of the pairs in the subleading k^{-4} tail of the momentum distribution $n(\mathbf{k})$ should be involved in the asymptotic behavior of the rf response at high frequencies. However, after a rigorous calculation according to the Fermi's golden rule, we find that the high-frequency tail of the rf response is determined by $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} n'(\mathbf{k}) \delta(\hbar\omega - \Delta E)$, where ΔE is the energy difference between the final state after the rf transition and the initial state, and $n'(\mathbf{k})$ is not exactly the momentum distribution of the system (see Eq.(69)). $n'(\mathbf{k})$ has the same leading behavior as that of the momentum distribution $n(\mathbf{k})$, but different subleading behavior, in which the c.m. contribution is excluded. After carefully dealing with this, we finally discover that the high-frequency tail of the rf response is fully described by the contacts defined by the adiabatic relations.

In addition, we also obtain the short-distance behavior of the pair correlation function, which is determined merely by the short-range behavior of the relative motions of the pairs. Naturally, it is fully captured by the contacts we defined. Finally, we derive the generalized virial theorem as well as the pressure relation. These thermodynamic relations are easily derived by using the adiabatic relations, and obviously, can fully be described by the contacts defined by the adiabatic relations.

Therefore, we conclude that it is still a good way to define the p-wave contacts for a spin-polarized Fermi gas according to the adiabatic relations. Almost all the universal relations are governed by such defined contacts, except the tail of the large-momentum distribution and the energy theorem, which should be handled separately. Our results confirm the feasibility of generalizing the contact theory for higher-partial-wave scatterings.

This paper is arranged as follows. In Sec. II, we present the definitions of the p-wave contacts, and derive the specific form of the adiabatic relations for a 2D spin-polarized Fermi gas. The asymptotic behavior of the momentum distribution at large momentum is discussed in Sec. III, and we show how the extra term appears in the subleading tail (k^{-4}) of the large-momentum distribution, in addition to the contact term related to the effective range. In Sec. IV, we demonstrate how to remove all the divergences of the kinetic energy and obtain the energy theorem for p-wave scattering (see Eq. (58)). The high-frequency behavior of the rf response of the system is studied in Sec. V according to the Fermi's golden rule, and in Sec. VI, the short-distance behavior of pair correlation function is obtained. The general virial theorem is acquired by using the adiabatic relations as well as the pressure relation in Sec. VII. Finally, our main results are summarized in Sec. VIII.

II. ADIABATIC RELATIONS

Let us consider a strongly interacting spin-polarized Fermi gas with total particle number N in two dimensions. The interatomic collision is dominated by the p-wave interaction with a short range ϵ, which is much smaller than all the other length scales of the system. Then we may deal with the interaction by setting a short-range boundary condition on many-body wavefunctions: when any two of fermions, for example, i and j, get close to each other, a many-body wavefunction in two dimensions can be written as

$$\Psi_{2D}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \mathcal{A}_\sigma(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R}) \psi_\sigma(\mathbf{r}), \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$, $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_j)/2$ are, respectively, the relative and c.m. coordinates of the pair (i, j), $\mathbf{X}$ includes the degrees of freedom of all the other fermions, and the index $\sigma = \pm$ denotes two different magnetic components of the p-wave wavefunction. The function $\mathcal{A}_\sigma(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R})$ is regular and $\psi_\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ is the two-body wavefunction describing the relative motion of the pair, which should take the form (unnormalized)

$$\psi_\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\pi}{2} q \left[J_1(qr) \cot\delta_\sigma - N_1(qr)\right] \Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi) \tag{2}$$

outside the range of the interatomic interaction, where $J_\nu(\cdot)$, $N_\nu(\cdot)$ are the Bessel functions of the first and second kinds, q is the relative wavenumber of the pair, $\Omega_m^{(\sigma)}(\varphi) \equiv e^{i\sigma m\varphi}/\sqrt{2\pi}$ is the angular function with respect to the azimuthal angle φ of the vector $\mathbf{r}$. We note that the *regularity* of the function $\mathcal{A}_\sigma(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R})$ implies that no more pairs except the fermions i and j can interact with each other, because of such a short-range interaction.

The interactions between spin-polarized fermions can be tuned using p-wave Feshbach resonances experimentally, and Tan's adiabatic relations state how the total

energy of the system accordingly changes when the interatomic interaction is adiabatically adjusted. To derive the adiabatic relations, we consider two many-body wavefunctions Ψ_{2D} and Ψ'_{2D} corresponding to different interaction strengths, and they should satisfy the Schrödinger equations with different energies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\nabla_i^2+U\left(\mathbf{r}_i\right)\right]\Psi_{2D}=E\Psi_{2D}, \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\nabla_i^2+U\left(\mathbf{r}_i\right)\right]\Psi'_{2D}=E'\Psi'_{2D}, \tag{4}$$

if there is no pair of fermions within the range of the interaction. Here, M is the atomic mass and $U\left(\mathbf{r}_i\right)$ is the external potential experienced by the i-th fermion. Then it follows from Eqs.(3) and (4) that [31]

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(E-E'\right)\int_{\mathcal{S}_\epsilon}\prod_{i=1}^{N}d\mathbf{r}_i\Psi'^{*}_{2D}\Psi_{2D}=\\&-\frac{\hbar^2}{M}\mathcal{N}\oint_{r=\epsilon}\left(\Psi'^{*}_{2D}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\Psi_{2D}-\Psi_{2D}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\Psi'^{*}_{2D}\right)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{n}}dl,\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{N}=N\left(N-1\right)/2$ is the number of all the possible ways to pair atoms, the domain $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon$ is the set of all configurations $(\mathbf{r}_i,\mathbf{r}_j)$, in which $r=|\mathbf{r}_i-\mathbf{r}_j|>\epsilon$, l is the boundary of $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon$ that the distance between the two fermions in the pair (i,j) is ϵ, and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is the direction normal to l, but is opposite to the radial direction. Expanding the many-body wavefunction (1) at small r, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{2D}\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R},\mathbf{r}\right)\approx\sum_{\sigma}\mathcal{A}_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}\right)\left[\frac{1}{r}-\frac{q^2}{2}r\ln\frac{r}{2b_\sigma}\right.\\\left.-\left(\frac{\pi}{4a_\sigma}+\frac{2\gamma-1}{4}q^2\right)r+\mathcal{O}\left(r^3\right)\right]\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}\left(\varphi\right),\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where γ is the Euler's constant, and we have used the effective-range expansion of the scattering phase shift, i.e.,

$$\cot\delta_\sigma=-\frac{1}{a_\sigma q^2}+\frac{2}{\pi}\ln\left(qb_\sigma\right), \tag{7}$$

and a_σ, b_σ are the scattering area and effective range, respectively, with the dimensions of length^2 and length^1 . We should note that the pair relative wavenumber q is generally dependent on $\mathbf{X}$ as well as $\mathbf{R}$, due to the external confinement U and the interatomic interactions, and can formally be written as [12, 31]

$$\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}=E-\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}\right)}\left[T\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}\right)+U\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}\right)\right]\mathcal{A}_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}\right), \tag{8}$$

and $T\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}\right)$ and $U\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}\right)$ are respectively the kinetic and external potential operators including the c.m. motion of the pair (i,j) and those of the rest of the fermions.

Inserting the asymptotic form of the many-body wavefunction (6) into Eq.(5), and letting $E'\to E$, $a'_\sigma\to a_\sigma$, $b'_\sigma\to b_\sigma$, we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\delta E\cdot\int_{\mathcal{S}_\epsilon}\prod_{i=1}^{N}d\mathbf{r}_i\left|\Psi_{2D}\right|^2=\sum_{\sigma}\left[-\frac{\pi\hbar^2}{2M}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}\cdot\delta a_\sigma^{-1}\right.\\\left.+\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}_\sigma\cdot\delta\ln b_\sigma+\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}\left(\ln\frac{2b_\sigma}{\epsilon}-\gamma\right)\cdot\delta E\right],\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}\equiv\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\left|\mathcal{A}_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}\right)\right|^2, \tag{10}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_\sigma\equiv\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\mathcal{A}_\sigma^{*}\left(E-T-U\right)\mathcal{A}_\sigma. \tag{11}$$

Using the normalization of the wavefunction (see Appendix A)

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_\epsilon}\prod_{i=1}^{N}d\mathbf{r}_i\left|\Psi_{2D}\right|^2=1+\mathcal{N}\sum_{\sigma}\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}\left(\ln\frac{2b_\sigma}{\epsilon}-\gamma\right), \tag{12}$$

Eq.(9) can further be simplified as

$$\delta E=\sum_{\sigma}\left[-\frac{\pi\hbar^2}{2M}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}\cdot\delta a_\sigma^{-1}+\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}_\sigma\cdot\delta\ln b_\sigma\right], \tag{13}$$

which yields

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_\sigma^{-1}}=-\frac{\pi\hbar^2}{2M}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial\ln b_\sigma}=\mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}_\sigma. \tag{15}$$

III. TAIL OF THE MOMENTUM DISTRIBUTION AT LARGE k

In this section, we are going to study the asymptotic behavior of the large momentum distribution for a spin-polarized Fermi gas. The momentum distribution of the i-th fermion is defined as

$$n_i\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\equiv\int\prod_{t\neq i}d\mathbf{r}_t\left|\tilde{\Psi}_i\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\right|^2, \tag{16}$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}_i\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\equiv\int d\mathbf{r}_i\Psi_{2D}e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_i}$, and then the total momentum distribution is $n\left(\mathbf{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}n_i\left(\mathbf{k}\right)$. When the pair (i,j) get close but still outside the interaction range, i.e., $r\left(\sim0^+\right)>\epsilon$, while all the other fermions are far away, we rewrite the many-body wavefunction Ψ_{2D} using the following ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{2D}\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R},\mathbf{r}\right)=\sum_{\sigma}\left[\frac{\mathcal{A}_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}\right)}{r}+\mathcal{B}_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}\right)r\ln r\right.\\\left.+\mathcal{C}_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}\right)r\right]\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}\left(\varphi\right)+\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{L}\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(r^3\right),\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$, $\mathcal{B}_\sigma$, $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ and $\mathbf{L}$ are all regular functions, and the term $\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R})$ represents the coupling between the relative and c.m. motions of the pair (i,j), resulted from the external confinement. Comparing Eqs.(6) and (17) at small r, we find

$$\mathcal{B}_\sigma = -\frac{q^2}{2}\mathcal{A}_\sigma, \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_\sigma &= \left(\frac{1-2\gamma}{4}q^2 - \frac{\pi}{4a_\sigma} + \frac{q^2}{2}\ln 2b_\sigma\right)\mathcal{A}_\sigma \\ &= -\left(\frac{1-2\gamma}{2} + \ln 2b_\sigma\right)\mathcal{B}_\sigma - \frac{\pi}{4a_\sigma}\mathcal{A}_\sigma,\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and $\mathcal{E}_\sigma$ defined in Eq.(11) can alternatively be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{E}_\sigma = -\frac{2\hbar^2}{M}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\mathcal{A}_\sigma^*(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R})\,\mathcal{B}_\sigma(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}) \equiv -\frac{2\hbar^2}{M}\mathcal{I}_b^{(\sigma)}, \tag{20}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_b^{(\sigma)} \equiv \int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\mathcal{A}_\sigma^*(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R})\,\mathcal{B}_\sigma(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R}) \tag{21}$$

is obviously real. The asymptotic behavior of the momentum distribution at large $\mathbf{k}$ but still smaller than ϵ^{-1} is determined by that of the wavefunction at short distance, then we have

$$\tilde{\Psi}_i(\mathbf{k}) \underset{k\to\infty}{\approx} \sum_{j\neq i} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_j}\int d\mathbf{r}\Psi_{2D}\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}_j+\frac{\mathbf{r}}{2},\mathbf{r}\right)e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}. \tag{22}$$

With the help of the plane-wave expansion

$$e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = \sqrt{2\pi}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\sigma=\pm}\eta_m i^m J_m(kr)\,e^{-i\sigma m\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}\Omega_m^{(\sigma)}(\varphi), \tag{23}$$

where $\eta_m = 1/2$ for $m=0$, and $\eta_m = 1$ for $m\geq 1$, and $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the azimuthal angle of $\mathbf{k}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\int d\mathbf{r}\frac{\mathcal{A}_\sigma(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}_j+\mathbf{r}/2)}{r}\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\,e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \\ &= -i\sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{A}_\sigma(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}_j)\frac{e^{i\sigma\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}}{k} + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\alpha_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}_j,\hat{\mathbf{k}}\right)\frac{1}{k^2} \\ &\quad + i\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{8}\beta_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}_j,\hat{\mathbf{k}}\right)\frac{1}{k^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(k^{-4}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where

$$\alpha_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}_j,\hat{\mathbf{k}}\right) \equiv k^2\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_j}\mathcal{A}_\sigma\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\frac{e^{i\sigma\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}}{k}, \tag{25}$$

$$\beta_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}_j,\hat{\mathbf{k}}\right) \equiv k^3\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_j}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\right)\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_j}\mathcal{A}_\sigma\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\frac{e^{i\sigma\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}}{k}\right] \tag{26}$$

only depend on the direction of $\mathbf{k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int d\mathbf{r}\mathcal{B}_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}_j+\frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}\right)(r\ln r)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\,e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \\ &\qquad = i2\sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{B}_\sigma(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}_j)\frac{e^{i\sigma\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}}{k^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(k^{-4}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and

$$\int d\mathbf{r}\mathcal{C}_\sigma\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}_j+\frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}\right)re^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = 0. \tag{28}$$

In addition, it is obvious that the coupling term $\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{r}_j+\mathbf{r}/2)$ contributes nothing to the tail of the momentum distribution at large k. Therefore, inserting Eqs.(24), (27), and (28) into Eq.(22), and then into Eq.(16), we find the total momentum distribution $n(\mathbf{k})$ at large $\mathbf{k}$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}n(\mathbf{k}) \approx\ &\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\mathcal{A}_{\sigma'}^*\mathcal{A}_\sigma e^{i(\sigma-\sigma')\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}\cdot\frac{4\pi}{k^2} + \mathbf{Im}\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\mathcal{A}_\sigma e^{i\sigma\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}\alpha_{\sigma'}^*\cdot\frac{4\pi}{k^3} \\ &+\left[-16\pi\mathbf{Re}\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\mathcal{A}_{\sigma'}^*\mathcal{B}_\sigma e^{i(\sigma-\sigma')\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}} + \pi\mathbf{Re}\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\left(\alpha_{\sigma'}^*\alpha_\sigma - \mathcal{A}_\sigma e^{i\sigma\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}\beta_{\sigma'}^*\right)\right]\frac{1}{k^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(k^{-5}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where we have rewritten the integral variable $\mathbf{r}_j$ as $\mathbf{R}$, and we have also omitted the arguments of the functions $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, α_σ, and β_σ to simplify the expression. If we are only interested in the dependence of the momentum distribution on the amplitude of $\mathbf{k}$, we may integrate over the direction of $\mathbf{k}$, and we find all the odd-order terms of k^{-1} vanish. We obtain (see Appendix B)

$$n(k) \approx \frac{\sum_\sigma \mathcal{C}_a^{(\sigma)}}{k^2} + \sum_\sigma\left(\mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)} + \mathcal{Q}_{cm}^{(\sigma)}\right)\frac{1}{k^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(k^{-6}\right), \tag{30}$$

where the contacts $\mathcal{C}_a^{(\sigma)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)}$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_a^{(\sigma)} \equiv 8\pi^2\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}, \tag{31}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)} \equiv -32\pi^2\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}_b^{(\sigma)}, \tag{32}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_{cm}^{(\sigma)} \equiv 2\pi^2 \mathcal{N} \int d\mathbf{X} d\mathbf{R} \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{A}_\sigma^* \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{A} \right). \quad (33)$$

Therefore, the adiabatic relations (14) and (15) can alternatively be written as

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_\sigma^{-1}} = -\frac{\hbar^2 \mathcal{C}_a^{(\sigma)}}{16\pi M}, \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \ln b_\sigma} = \frac{\hbar^2 \mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)}}{16\pi^2 M}. \quad (35)$$

We find, similarly as the situation in three dimensions [31], the leading-order term of k^{-2} can fully be described by the contact $\mathcal{C}_a^{(\sigma)}$, while there is an extra term appearing in the subleading-order term of k^{-4}, i.e., $\mathcal{Q}_{cm}^{(\sigma)}$, in addition to the contact $\mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)}$, which is resulted from the c.m. motions of the pairs. We can expect that this additional term should result in significant amendments to the other universal relations.

IV. ENERGY THEOREM

Because of the short-range p-wave interatomic interactions, the momentum distribution generally decays like k^{-2} at large $\mathbf{k}$, and subsequently the kinetic energy of the system diverges. Unlike that of the s-wave interaction, the subleading-order term of k^{-4} in the large momentum distribution should also result in an additional divergence of the kinetic energy. In addition, such divergent behavior in the subleading-order term can fully be captured only when both the contact $\mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)}$ defined from adiabatic relation (35) and the extra term $\mathcal{Q}_{cm}^{(\sigma)}$ resulted from the c.m. motions of the pairs are considered. However, we may expect, as shown in this section, all these divergences of the kinetic energy should be removed by the interatomic interactions, and then leads to a convergent total internal energy.

Within the frame of the contact theory, only two-body correlations are considered. Therefore, in order to avoid the complication of the notations, we first demonstrate the derivation of the energy theorem according to a two-body picture, and then present the general energy theorem for a many-body system. Because only the internal energy of the system is considered, we are going to omit the external confinement, which is trivial to the energy theorem. The Schrödinger equation of two fermions takes the form

$$E\Psi_{2D} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla_i^2 \right) + V\left(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2 \right) \right] \Psi_{2D}, \quad (36)$$

where $V\left(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2 \right)$ is the interatomic interaction with a short range ϵ, out of which we may assume $V = 0$. Multiplying Ψ_{2D}^* and integrating on both sides of Eq.(36) over the domain s_ϵ, in which $r = |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2| > \epsilon$, we obtain

$$E \int_{s_\epsilon} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \left| \Psi_{2D} \right|^2 = \int_{s_\epsilon} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \Psi_{2D}^* \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla_i^2 \right) \Psi_{2D}. \quad (37)$$

On the left-hand side (LHS) of Eq.(37), we already obtain

$$\int_{s_\epsilon} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \left| \Psi_{2D} \right|^2 = 1 + \sum_\sigma \mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)} \left(\ln \frac{2b_\sigma}{\epsilon} - \gamma \right) \quad (38)$$

in Appendix A. Let us concentrate on the right-hand side (RHS), which may be rewritten as

$$RHS = I_{whole}^{(2)} - I_{\bar{s}_\epsilon}^{(2)}, \quad (39)$$

where

$$I_{whole}^{(2)} \equiv \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \Psi_{2D}^* \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla_i^2 \right) \Psi_{2D}, \quad (40)$$

$$I_{\bar{s}_\epsilon}^{(2)} \equiv \int_{\bar{s}_\epsilon} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \Psi_{2D}^* \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla_i^2 \right) \Psi_{2D}. \quad (41)$$

Here, $\bar{s}_\epsilon$ is the complementary set of s_ϵ, in which $r < \epsilon$. If we write the two-body wavefunction Ψ_{2D} in the momentum space, i.e.,

$$\Psi_{2D} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{2D}\left(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 \right) e^{i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1} e^{i\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2}, \quad (42)$$

where

$$\Phi_{2D}\left(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 \right) \equiv \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \Psi_{2D} e^{-i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2}, \quad (43)$$

$I_{whole}^{(2)}$ becomes

$$I_{whole}^{(2)} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\hbar^2 k_i^2}{2M} \left| \Phi_{2D} \right|^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2M} n\left(\mathbf{k} \right), \quad (44)$$

where $n\left(\mathbf{k} \right)$ is the total momentum distribution of two fermions. If we extend the asymptotic form of Ψ_{2D} (1) to the region even inside the interaction range, the momentum distribution $n\left(k \right)$ decays like k^{-2} and k^{-4} as $k \to \infty$, respectively, as shown in Eq.(30), and then $I_{whole}^{(2)}$ becomes divergent. However, we will see such divergence is exactly removed by $I_{\bar{s}_\epsilon}^{(2)}$, and the RHS of Eq.(37), i.e., Eq.(39), converges.

In the follows, let us focus on the integral $I_{\bar{s}_\epsilon}$. Inserting Eq.(1) into Eq.(41), and rewriting the integral in the c.m. frame of two fermions, we obtain

$$I_{\bar{s}_\epsilon}^{(2)} = \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \left[\int d\mathbf{R} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma'}^* \mathcal{A}_\sigma \cdot \mathbf{I}_1^{(\sigma\sigma')} + \int d\mathbf{R} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma'}^* \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{4M} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}^2 \right) \mathcal{A}_\sigma \cdot \mathbf{I}_2^{(\sigma\sigma')} \right], \quad (45)$$

where

$$\mathbf{I}_1^{(\sigma\sigma')} \equiv \int_{r<\epsilon} d\mathbf{r}\psi^*_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{r})\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{M}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\right)\psi_\sigma(\mathbf{r}), \quad (46)$$

$$\mathbf{I}_2^{(\sigma\sigma')} \equiv \int_{r<\epsilon} d\mathbf{r}\psi^*_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{r})\psi_\sigma(\mathbf{r}), \quad (47)$$

and we should note that the variable $\mathbf{X}$ in the function $\mathcal{A}$ drops out automatically for a two-body system. Let us calculate $\mathbf{I}_1^{(\sigma\sigma')}$ first, and keep in mind that $\psi_\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ takes the form of Eq.(2), which is the linear combination of $J_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)$ and $N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)$, respectively, the regular and irregular solutions of the p-wave Schrödinger equation. Therefore, we have

$$\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\left[J_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right] = -q^2 J_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi), \quad (48)$$

and then we easily find

$$\int_{r<\epsilon} d\mathbf{r}\psi^*_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{r})\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{M}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\right)\left[J_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right] = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\left(\frac{\hbar^2 q}{4M}\right)\left[(\epsilon q)^2 + O(\epsilon q)^4\right], \quad (49)$$

which vanishes in the low-energy limit, i.e., $\epsilon q \to 0$, and it yields

$$\mathbf{I}_1^{(\sigma\sigma')} = \frac{\pi\hbar^2 q}{2M}\int_{r<\epsilon} d\mathbf{r}\psi^*_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{r})\,\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\left[N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]. \quad (50)$$

As to the irregular solution $N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)$, we have (see Appendix C)

$$\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\left[N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right] = \left[\frac{4\delta(r)}{\pi qr^2} - q^2 N_1(qr)\right]\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi), \quad (51)$$

and then

$$\mathbf{I}_1^{(\sigma\sigma')} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\frac{\hbar^2}{M}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q^2\cot\delta_\sigma\right) - \frac{\pi^2\hbar^2 q^2}{4M}\int_{r<\epsilon} d\mathbf{r}N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)\,\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\left[N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]. \quad (52)$$

Apparently, the last term of Eq.(52) is divergent, since the Bessel function $N_1(qr)$ behaves as

$$N_1(qr) = -\frac{2}{\pi qr} + \frac{qr}{\pi}\left(\ln\frac{qr}{2} + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right) + O(qr)^3 \quad (53)$$

at $qr \sim 0$. The crucial point of the energy thoerem is to discuss such divergence alternatively in the momentum space. After straightforward algebra, we show in the Appendix D that

$$\frac{\pi^2\hbar^2 q^2}{4M}\int_{r<\epsilon} d\mathbf{r}N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)\,\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\left[N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right] = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[-\frac{\hbar^2\Lambda^2}{2M} - \frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{M}\ln\frac{\Lambda}{q} - \frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{M}\left(\gamma + \ln\frac{\epsilon\Lambda}{2}\right) - \int_\Lambda^\infty \frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2M}\left(\frac{8\pi^2}{k^2} + \frac{16\pi^2 q^2}{k^4}\right)\right], \quad (54)$$

and then it yields

$$\mathbf{I}_1^{(\sigma\sigma')} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\left(\Lambda^2 - \frac{\pi}{a_\sigma}\right) + \frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{M}\ln(\Lambda b_\sigma) + \frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{M}\left(\gamma + \ln\frac{\epsilon\Lambda}{2}\right) + \int_\Lambda^\infty \frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2M}\left(\frac{8\pi^2}{k^2} + \frac{16\pi^2 q^2}{k^4}\right)\right], \quad (55)$$

where we have used the effective expansion (7). In the expression of Eq.(55), we exactly separate the divergent part of $\mathbf{I}_1^{(\sigma\sigma')}$ in the momentum space as appearing in the last integral.

As to the integral $\mathbf{I}_2^{(\sigma\sigma')}$, i.e., Eq.(47), we easily find it is also divergent, since the wavefunction $\psi_\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ behaves as r^{-1} at $r \sim 0$. Such divergence can also be separated in the momentum space (see Appendix D), and yields

$$\mathbf{I}_2^{(\sigma\sigma')} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[\gamma + \ln\frac{\epsilon\Lambda}{2} + 4\pi^2\int_\Lambda^\infty \frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{1}{k^2}\right]. \quad (56)$$

Combining Eqs.(45) (55) and (56), we obtain

$$I_{\bar{\epsilon}}^{(2)}=\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left\{\sum_{\sigma}\frac{\hbar^{2}c_{a}^{(\sigma)}}{16\pi^{2}M}\left(\Lambda^{2}-\frac{\pi}{a_{\sigma}}\right)+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8\pi^{2}M}\sum_{\sigma}\left[\frac{c_{b}^{(\sigma)}}{2}\ln\left(\Lambda b_{\sigma}\right)+\left(\frac{c_{b}^{(\sigma)}}{2}+Q_{cm}^{(\sigma)}\right)\left(\ln\frac{\epsilon\Lambda}{2}+\gamma\right)\right]\right.$$
$$\left.+\int_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\frac{kdk}{\left(2\pi\right)^{2}}\frac{\hbar^{2}k^{2}}{2M}\left[\frac{\sum_{\sigma}c_{a}^{(\sigma)}}{k^{2}}+\frac{\sum_{\sigma}\left(c_{b}^{(\sigma)}+Q_{cm}^{(\sigma)}\right)}{k^{4}}\right]\right\},\quad(57)$$

where we have defined the corresponding two-body quantities $c_{a}^{(\sigma)}\equiv8\pi^{2}\mathcal{I}_{a}^{(\sigma)}$, $c_{b}^{(\sigma)}\equiv-32\pi^{2}\mathcal{I}_{b}^{(\sigma)}$, and $Q_{cm}^{(\sigma)}\equiv2\pi^{2}\int d\mathbf{R}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}^{*}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}\right)$. We can see that the divergent integral of $I_{\bar{\epsilon}}^{(2)}$ exactly compensates that of $I_{whole}^{(2)}$, and then the RHS of Eq.(37), i.e., $I_{whole}^{(2)}-I_{\bar{s}_{\epsilon}}^{(2)}$ converges.

The above procedure can easily be generalized to the many-body system of N spin-polarized fermions. The divergence of the corresponding integral $I_{whole}^{(N)}$ arises when any two of fermions get close. Since there are totally $\mathcal{N}=N\left(N-1\right)/2$ ways to pair atoms, we obtain $I_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\epsilon}}^{(N)}=\mathcal{N}I_{\bar{s}_{\epsilon}}^{(2)}$, where the domain $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\epsilon}$ is the set of all configurations $(\mathbf{r}_{i},\mathbf{r}_{j})$, in which $|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j}|<\epsilon$. Finally, after redefining the constant $\mathcal{N}$ into the contacts, the energy theorem for a many-body system can be rearranged as

$$E\left[1+\sum_{\sigma}\frac{\mathcal{C}_{a}^{(\sigma)}}{8\pi^{2}}\left(\ln\frac{2b_{\sigma}}{\epsilon}-\gamma\right)\right]=\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left\{\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|<\Lambda}\frac{\hbar^{2}k^{2}}{2M}n\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{16\pi^{2}M}\sum_{\sigma}\mathcal{C}_{a}^{(\sigma)}\left(\Lambda^{2}-\frac{\pi}{a_{\sigma}}\right)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{8\pi^{2}M}\sum_{\sigma}\left[\frac{\mathcal{C}_{b}^{(\sigma)}}{2}\ln\left(\Lambda b_{\sigma}\right)+\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}_{b}^{(\sigma)}}{2}+\mathcal{Q}_{cm}^{(\sigma)}\right)\left(\ln\frac{\epsilon\Lambda}{2}+\gamma\right)\right]\right\},\quad(58)$$

where γ is the Euler's constant. Here, we should note that unlike the s-wave case, the range ϵ of the p-wave interaction appears in the energy theorem. This feature is resulted from the non-normalizability of the higher-partial wavefunctions, and the short-range physics becomes important, which has already been pointed out in [30] for the three-dimensional systems.

V. THE HIGH-FREQUENCY TAIL OF THE RF SPECTROSCOPY

In the rf experiments, the fermions can be driven from the initially occupied spin state $|g\rangle$ to an *empty* spin state $|e\rangle$, when the external rf field is tuned near the transition frequency between the states $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$. The universal scaling behavior at high frequency of the rf response of the system is governed by contacts [6, 9, 29, 32, 33]. In this section, we are going to show how the contacts defined by the adiabatic relations characterize such high-frequency scalings of the rf spectroscopy of a spin-polarized Fermi gas in two dimensions. Let us again start from a two-body picture, and consider two fermions in the same spin state, which may simplify the presentation as much as possible. The rf field is described in the momentum space by

$$\mathcal{H}_{rf}=\gamma_{rf}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\left(e^{-i\omega t}c_{e\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{k}}+e^{i\omega t}c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}c_{e\mathbf{k}}\right),\quad(59)$$

where γ_{rf} is the strength of the rf drive, ω is the rf frequency, and $c_{e\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$ are respectively the creation operators for fermions with the momentum $\mathbf{k}$ in the spin states $|e\rangle$ and $|g\rangle$. The initial two-body state before the rf transition can be written as

$$|\Psi_{i}\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}_{1}\mathbf{k}_{2}}\tilde{\Psi}_{2D}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2}\right)c_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}^{\dagger}\left|0\right\rangle,\quad(60)$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}_{2D}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2}\right)$ is the Fourier transform of the two-body wavefunction (1) (the variable $\mathbf{X}$ drops out in the two-body picture), i.e.,

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{2D}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2}\right)=\int d\mathbf{r}_{1}d\mathbf{r}_{2}\Psi_{2D}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)e^{-i\mathbf{k}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{1}}e^{-i\mathbf{k}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{2}}.\quad(61)$$

Acting Eq.(59) onto Eq.(60), we easily obtain the final state after the rf transition,

$$|\Psi_{f}\rangle=\frac{\gamma_{rf}e^{-i\omega t}}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}_{1}\mathbf{k}_{2}}\tilde{\Psi}_{2D}\left(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2}\right)\left(c_{e\mathbf{k}_{1}}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}^{\dagger}-c_{e\mathbf{k}_{2}}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}^{\dagger}\right)\left|0\right\rangle.\quad(62)$$

The physical meaning of Eq.(62) is quite apparent: after the rf transition, there are two possible final states that one of the two fermions is driven from the initial spin state $|g\rangle$ to the final spin state $|e\rangle$ with either momentum $\mathbf{k}_1$ or $\mathbf{k}_2$, and the probabilities are both $\gamma_{rf}^2\left|\tilde{\Psi}_{2D}\left(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2\right)\right|^2/2$. According to the Fermi's golden rule [29], and taking these two final states into account, the two-body rf transition rate takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_2\left(\omega\right) &= \frac{\pi}{\hbar}\sum_{i,f}\left\langle\Psi_f\right|\mathcal{H}_{rf}\left|\Psi_i\right\rangle\delta\left(\hbar\omega-\Delta E\right)\\ &= \frac{\pi\gamma_{rf}^2}{\hbar}\sum_{\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}_2}\left|\tilde{\Psi}_{2D}\left(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2\right)\right|^2\delta\left(\hbar\omega-\Delta E\right),\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where ΔE is the energy difference between the final and initial states. If the final spin state $|e\rangle$ has an ignorable interaction with the initial spin state $|g\rangle$, the final-state energy becomes

$$E_f = \frac{\hbar^2K^2}{4M}+\frac{\hbar^2k^2}{M}+\hbar\omega_e+\hbar\omega_g, \tag{64}$$

where $\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2$, $\mathbf{k}=\left(\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}_2\right)/2$, and ω_e and ω_g are the bare hyperfine frequencies of the final and initial spin states, respectively. The energy of the initial state with two fermions in the spin state $|g\rangle$ is

$$E_i = \frac{\hbar^2K^2}{4M}+\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}+2\hbar\omega_g, \tag{65}$$

and $\hbar^2q^2/M$ is the relative energy of two fermions in the spin state $|g\rangle$. Therefore, we have

$$\Delta E \approx \frac{\hbar^2k^2}{M}+\hbar\left(\omega_e-\omega_g\right)-\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}, \tag{66}$$

then we obtain

$$\Gamma_2\left(\omega\right)=\frac{\pi\gamma_{rf}^2}{\hbar}\sum_{\mathbf{k}_1\mathbf{k}_2}\left|\tilde{\Psi}_{2D}\left(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2\right)\right|^2\delta\left(\hbar\omega+\frac{\hbar^2\left(q^2-k^2\right)}{M}\right), \tag{67}$$

where we set the bare hyperfine splitting $\omega_e-\omega_g=0$ without loss of generality. Furthermore, if inserting Eq.(61) into Eq.(67), we may rewrite the rf transition rate as

$$\Gamma_2\left(\omega\right)=\frac{\pi\gamma_{rf}^2}{\hbar}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}n'\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\delta\left(\hbar\omega+\frac{\hbar^2\left(q^2-k^2\right)}{M}\right), \tag{68}$$

where

$$n'\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\equiv\int d\mathbf{R}\left|\int d\mathbf{r}\Psi_{2D}e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\right|^2, \tag{69}$$

and recall $\mathbf{R}=\left(\mathbf{r}_1+\mathbf{r}_2\right)/2$ and $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}_1-\mathbf{r}_2$. Comparing Eq.(69) with the definition of the momentum distribution, i.e., Eq.(16), or specifically, for a two-body system

$$n\left(\mathbf{k}\right)=\int d\mathbf{r}_2\left|\int d\mathbf{r}_1\Psi_{2D}e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_1}\right|^2, \tag{70}$$

we find $n'\left(\mathbf{k}\right)$ is not exactly the momentum distribution of the system: $n'\left(\mathbf{k}\right)$ should have the same leading-order behavior as that of $n\left(\mathbf{k}\right)$ at large $\mathbf{k}$, but different subleading-order behavior, in which the c.m. contribution is excluded. As we can see from Eq.(68), the high-frequency behavior of the rf transition rate is determined by $n'\left(\mathbf{k}\right)$ at large $\mathbf{k}$ but still smaller than ϵ^{-1}, due to the delta function. Here, we should carefully deal with the relative energy $\hbar^2q^2/M$ in the low-energy limit, since the subleading-order behavior becomes important. Simply using the Fourier transform of the relative wavefunction of two fermions at small $\mathbf{r}$, we easily obtain the form of $n'\left(\mathbf{k}\right)$ at large $\mathbf{k}$, and then the two-body rf transition rate $\Gamma_2\left(\omega\right)$ becomes

$$\Gamma_2\left(\omega\right)\approx\frac{M\gamma_{rf}^2}{8\pi\hbar^3}\left[\frac{\sum_\sigma c_a^{(\sigma)}}{M\omega/\hbar}+\frac{\sum_\sigma c_b^{(\sigma)}}{2\left(M\omega/\hbar\right)^2}\right] \tag{71}$$

at large ω but smaller than $\hbar/M\epsilon^2$, and again $c_a^{(\sigma)}$, $c_b^{(\sigma)}$ are the two-body contacts.

For the many-body systems, all possible $\mathcal{N}$ pairs may contribute to the high-frequency tail of the rf spectroscopy, when the two fermions in them get close, while all the other fermions are far away. Therefore, we can follow the above two-body route, and easily obtain the asymptotic behavior of the rf response of the many-body system at large ω, after redefining the constant $\mathcal{N}$ into the contacts, i.e.,

$$\Gamma\left(\omega\right)\approx\frac{M\gamma_{rf}^2}{8\pi\hbar^3}\left[\frac{\sum_\sigma \mathcal{C}_a^{(\sigma)}}{M\omega/\hbar}+\frac{\sum_\sigma \mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)}}{2\left(M\omega/\hbar\right)^2}\right], \tag{72}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_a^{(\sigma)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)}$ are corresponding many-body contacts, and $\Gamma\left(\omega\right)$ should obey the sum rule $\int d\omega\Gamma\left(\omega\right)=\pi\gamma_{rf}^2N/\hbar^2$ [33].

VI. PAIR CORRELATION FUNCTION AT SHORT DISTANCES

The pair correlation function $g_2\left(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}\right)$ gives the probability of finding two fermions at positions $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{t}$ simultaneously, i.e., $g_2\left(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}\right)\equiv\left\langle\hat{\rho}\left(\mathbf{s}\right)\hat{\rho}\left(\mathbf{t}\right)\right\rangle$, where $\hat{\rho}\left(\mathbf{s}\right)=\sum_i\delta\left(\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{r}_i\right)$ is the density operator at the position $\mathbf{s}$. For a pure many-body state $|\Psi_{2D}\rangle$ of N fermions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}g_2\left(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}\right) &= \int d\mathbf{r}_1d\mathbf{r}_2\cdots d\mathbf{r}_N\left\langle\Psi_{2D}\right|\hat{\rho}\left(\mathbf{s}\right)\hat{\rho}\left(\mathbf{t}\right)\left|\Psi_{2D}\right\rangle\\ &= N\left(N-1\right)\int d\mathbf{X}\left|\Psi_{2D}\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{R},\mathbf{r}\right)\right|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

where $\mathbf{R}=\left(\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{t}\right)/2$, $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{t}$, and $\mathbf{X}$ denotes all the degrees of freedom of the fermions except the ones at $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{t}$. Further more, we may also integrate over the c.m. coordinate $\mathbf{R}$, and define the spatially integrated pair correlation function as

$$G_2\left(\mathbf{r}\right)\equiv\int d\mathbf{R}g_2\left(\mathbf{R}+\frac{\mathbf{r}}{2},\mathbf{R}-\frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}\right). \tag{74}$$

Using the asymptotic form the many-body wavefunction at short distance, i.e., Eq.(1), we easily obtain

$$G_2(\mathbf{r}) \approx N(N-1)\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\mathcal{A}^*_{\sigma'}\mathcal{A}_\sigma \left[\frac{1}{r^2}-\frac{q^2}{2}\left(\ln\frac{r}{2b_{\sigma'}}+\ln\frac{r}{2b_\sigma}\right)\right]\Omega^*_{\sigma'}(\varphi)\,\Omega_\sigma(\varphi). \quad (75)$$

If we are only interested in the dependence of $G_2(\mathbf{r})$ on $r=|\mathbf{r}|$, we may integrate $G_2(\mathbf{r})$ over the direction of $\mathbf{r}$, and obtain

$$G_2(r) \approx N(N-1)\sum_\sigma\left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}}{r^2}+2\mathcal{I}_b^{(\sigma)}\ln\frac{r}{2b_\sigma}\right) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\sum_\sigma\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}_a^{(\sigma)}}{r^2}-\frac{\mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)}}{2}\ln\frac{r}{2b_\sigma}\right). \quad (76)$$

We can see that the short-distance behavior of the pair correlation function of a spin-polarized Fermi gas is also completely captured by the p-wave contacts $\mathcal{C}_a^{(\sigma)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)}$.

VII. GENERALIZED VIRIAL THEOREM AND PRESSURE RELATION

Let us consider a spin-polarized Fermi gas trapped in the harmonic potential V, then the Helmholtz free energy F should be the function of the temperature T, the trap frequency ω, the atom number N, and the interatomic p-wave interaction strength characterized by the 2D scattering area a_σ as well as the effective range b_σ, i.e., $F(T,\omega,a_\sigma,b_\sigma,N)$. The generalized virial theorem can be obtained according to the dimensional analysis [7, 8, 34]. Using $\hbar\omega$ as the unit of the energy, the Helmholtz free energy may be written as

$$F(T,\omega,a_\sigma,b_\sigma,N)=\hbar\omega f\left(\frac{k_BT}{\hbar\omega},\frac{\hbar^2/Ma_\sigma}{\hbar\omega},\frac{\hbar^2/Mb_\sigma^2}{\hbar\omega},N\right), \quad (77)$$

where the function f is just a dimensionless function, and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. Then the free energy F should have the following scaling property,

$$F\left(\lambda T,\lambda\omega,\lambda^{-1}a_\sigma,\lambda^{-1/2}b_\sigma,N\right)=\lambda F(T,\omega,a_\sigma,b_\sigma,N). \quad (78)$$

Taking the derivative with respect to λ on both sides of Eq.(78), and then setting $\lambda=1$, we obtain

$$\left(T\frac{\partial}{\partial T}+\omega\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega}-a_\sigma\frac{\partial}{\partial a_\sigma}-\frac{b_\sigma}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial b_\sigma}\right)F=F. \quad (79)$$

Since the Helmholtz free energy is just the Legendre transform of the energy, its partial derivatives at constant T with respect to ω, a_σ, and b_σ are equal to those of the energy at the associated value of the entropy S. Combining the adiabatic relations (34) and (35), and $dF=dE-SdT$, we easily obtain

$$E=2\langle V\rangle-\frac{\hbar^2\mathcal{C}_a^{(\sigma)}}{16\pi Ma_\sigma}-\frac{\hbar^2\mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)}}{32\pi^2M}. \quad (80)$$

The pressure relation can be derived following the similar route. Let us consider the free energy density $\mathcal{F}$, which has the dimension of (energy)^2 up to the factors $\hbar$ and M. Assuming κ is an arbitrary quantity with dimension of (energy)^1, the free energy density can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}(T,a_\sigma,b_\sigma,n)=\frac{M\kappa^2}{\hbar^2}f\left(\frac{k_BT}{\kappa},\frac{\hbar^2/Ma_\sigma}{\kappa},\frac{\hbar^2/Mb_\sigma^2}{\kappa},\frac{\hbar^2n/M}{\kappa}\right), \quad (81)$$

where n is the atom density. Then we have

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\lambda T,\lambda^{-1}a_\sigma,\lambda^{-1/2}b_\sigma,\lambda n\right)=\lambda^2\mathcal{F}(T,a_\sigma,b_\sigma,n), \quad (82)$$

which similarly yields

$$\left(T\frac{\partial}{\partial T}-a_\sigma\frac{\partial}{\partial a_\sigma}-\frac{b_\sigma}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial b_\sigma}+n\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\right)\mathcal{F}=2\mathcal{F}. \quad (83)$$

Combining $P=-\mathcal{F}+n\mu$, where μ is the chemical potential, and the adiabatic relations, we finally obtain the pressure relation

$$P=\varepsilon+\frac{\hbar^2\mathcal{C}_a^{(\sigma)}}{16\pi Ma_\sigma}+\frac{\hbar^2\mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)}}{32\pi^2M}, \quad (84)$$

where ε is the energy density of the system.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

To conclude, we have systematically studied the full set of universal relations of a two-dimensional spin-polarized Fermi gas with p-wave interactions. If the p-wave contacts are defined according to the adiabatic relations, we find that the universal relations of the system, such as the high-frequency tail of the radio-frequency response, short-distance behavior of the pair correlation function, generalized virial theorem, and pressure relation are fully captured by the contacts we define. As we anticipate, an extra term resulted from the center-of-mass motions of the pairs appears in the subleading tail (k^{-4}) of the large momentum distribution besides the contact related to the effective range, similar to what happens in a three-dimensional p-wave Fermi gas. Furthermore, such an extra term results in an additional divergence for the energy theorem, which should carefully be handled with. We show that all the divergences of the kinetic energy are exactly compensated by the interatomic interaction energy, and the total internal energy of the system converges. Our results could easily be generalized for higher-partial-wave scatterings. The predicted universal relations could readily be confirmed in current cold-atom experiments with spin-polarized Fermi gases of ^{40}K and ^{6}Li atoms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge valuable discussions with Hui Hu, Xia-Ji Liu, Zhenhua Yu, Qi Zhou and Shina Tan. This work is supported by the NKRDP (National Key Research and Development Program) under Grant No. 2016YFA0301503 and NSFC under Grant No. 11474315.

Appendix A: the normalization of the wavefunction

In this appendix, we are going to discuss the normalization of the many-body wavefunction Ψ_{2D} in two dimension, and calculate $\int_{\mathcal{S}_\epsilon}\prod_{i=1}^{N}d\mathbf{r}_i\left|\Psi_{2D}\right|^2$. The similar normalization has been discussed for a three-dimensional system (see Appendix A of [31]), and such normalization is related to the probability of finding two fermions inside the interaction range ϵ. Let us consider N spin-polarized fermions, and when any two of them interact with each other, for example, fermions i and j, all the others are far away. For two different relative energies of the pair (i,j), i.e., $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}'$, due to the orthogonality of the wavefunction, we have $\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}d\mathbf{r}\Psi_{2D}'^{*}\Psi_{2D}=0$. Then from the Schrödinger equations satisfied by Ψ_{2D}' and Ψ_{2D}, we find the probability of finding the pair (i,j) inside the interaction range ϵ should be

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}d\mathbf{r}\left|\Psi_{2D}\right|^2 &= -\lim_{\mathcal{E}'\to\mathcal{E}}\int_{r>\epsilon}d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}d\mathbf{r}\Psi_{2D}'^{*}\Psi_{2D}\\
&= -\lim_{\mathcal{E}'\to\mathcal{E}}\frac{\hbar^2/M}{\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{E}'}\int_{r=\epsilon}d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}d\mathbf{r}\left(\Psi_{2D}'^{*}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Psi_{2D}-\Psi_{2D}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Psi_{2D}'^{*}\right)\\
&= -\lim_{\mathcal{E}'\to\mathcal{E}}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\mathcal{A}_{\sigma'}'^{*}\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}\cdot\frac{\hbar^2/M}{\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{E}'}\int_0^{2\pi}\epsilon d\varphi\left(\psi_{\sigma'}'^{*}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\psi_{\sigma}-\psi_{\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\psi_{\sigma'}'^{*}\right)\\
&= -\sum_{\sigma}\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}\left[-\left(\gamma+\ln\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial q^2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q^2\cot\delta_\sigma-q^2\ln q\right)\right]\\
&= -\sum_{\sigma}\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}\left(\ln\frac{2b_\sigma}{\epsilon}-\gamma\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{A1}$$

where we have used the effective-range expansion of the scattering phase shift (7), $q^2=M\mathcal{E}/\hbar^2$, and γ is the Euler's constant. We can see that the bound for the effective range b_σ exists, i.e., $b_\sigma<\epsilon e^{\gamma}/2$, in order to guarantee the positive probability of finding two atoms inside the interaction range. This is an alternative expression of the Wigner's bound on the effective range for the p-wave interaction in two dimensions [31, 35–37]. Then the total probability of finding any pair of fermions inside the interaction range is

$$\int_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_\epsilon}\prod_{i=1}^{N}d\mathbf{r}_i\left|\Psi_{2D}\right|^2=\frac{N\left(N-1\right)}{2}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}d\mathbf{r}\left|\Psi_{2D}\right|^2=-\mathcal{N}\sum_{\sigma}\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}\left(\ln\frac{2b_\sigma}{\epsilon}-\gamma\right),\tag{A2}$$

where $\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}$ is defined in Eq.(10), and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_\epsilon$ is the set of all configurations that there is only one pair inside the interaction range. Consequently, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_\epsilon}\prod_{i=1}^{N}d\mathbf{r}_i\left|\Psi_{2D}\right|^2=1+\mathcal{N}\sum_{\sigma}\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}\left(\ln\frac{2b_\sigma}{\epsilon}-\gamma\right).\tag{A3}$$

Appendix B: Derivation details of the momentum distribution

In this part of the appendix, we present the calculation details in the derivation from Eq.(29) to (30). The integral over the direction of $\mathbf{k}$ for the leading-order term, i.e., $\sim k^{-2}$, can easily be obtained, and the coefficient takes the

simple form of $\sum_\sigma 8\pi^2\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}_a^{(\sigma)}$, which then we define as $\sum_\sigma \mathcal{C}_a^{(\sigma)}$. For the k^{-3}-order term, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_3 &\equiv \mathbf{Im}\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\mathcal{A}_\sigma e^{i\sigma\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}\alpha^*_{\sigma'}\cdot\frac{4\pi}{k^3}\\ &= -4\pi\mathcal{N}\mathbf{Im}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\mathcal{A}_\sigma\left[\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}^*_{\sigma'}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}\right)+i\sigma'\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}^*_{\sigma'}\cdot\hat{\varphi}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)\right]\cdot\frac{e^{i(\sigma-\sigma')\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}}{k^3},\end{aligned}\tag{B1}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$, $\hat{\varphi}_{\mathbf{k}}$ are respectively the unit vectors of the radial and azimuthal directions of $\mathbf{k}$. Obviously, τ_3 is simply the linear combination of $e^{i(\sigma-\sigma')\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}\sin\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $e^{i(\sigma-\sigma')\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}\cos\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$, which automatically vanishes if integrating over $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$.

Let us look at the k^{-4}-order term, which includes two terms. The first term becomes $-32\pi^2\sum_\sigma\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}_b^{(\sigma)}/k^4$ if integrating over $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$, and then we define as $\sum_\sigma\mathcal{C}_b^{(\sigma)}/k^4$. As to the second term, we rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned}\chi = &\pi\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}^*_{\sigma'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}f^*_{\sigma'}\right]\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}_\sigma\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}f_\sigma\right]\\ &-\frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\left\{\mathcal{A}^*_{\sigma'}f^*_{\sigma'}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\right)\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}_\sigma\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}f_\sigma\right]+\mathcal{A}_\sigma f_\sigma\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\right)\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}^*_{\sigma'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}f^*_{\sigma'}\right]\right\},\end{aligned}\tag{B2}$$

where we have defined $f_\sigma(\mathbf{k})\equiv e^{i\sigma\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}/k$. Since the function $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$ is regular and should decay to zero at infinity, after partially integrating, χ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \pi\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}^*_{\sigma'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}f^*_{\sigma'}\right]\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}_\sigma\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}f_\sigma\right]\\ &\quad+\frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\left\{f^*_{\sigma'}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}^*_{\sigma'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\right)\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}_\sigma\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}f_\sigma\right]+f_\sigma\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}_\sigma\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\right)\left[\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}^*_{\sigma'}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}f^*_{\sigma'}\right]\right\}\\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\sum_{ij}\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}^*_{\sigma'}}{\partial R_i}\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_\sigma}{\partial R_j}\left(2\frac{\partial f^*_{\sigma'}}{\partial k_i}\frac{\partial f_\sigma}{\partial k_j}+f^*_{\sigma'}\frac{\partial^2 f_\sigma}{\partial k_i\partial k_j}+f_\sigma\frac{\partial^2 f^*_{\sigma'}}{\partial k_j\partial k_i}\right)\\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\sum_{ij}\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}^*_{\sigma'}}{\partial R_i}\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_\sigma}{\partial R_j}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial k_i}\left(f^*_{\sigma'}\frac{\partial f_\sigma}{\partial k_j}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial k_j}\left(f_\sigma\frac{\partial f^*_{\sigma'}}{\partial k_i}\right)\right],\end{aligned}\tag{B3}$$

where the indices i,j denote $\{x,y,z\}$. Inserting

$$f_\sigma(\mathbf{k})=\frac{e^{i\sigma\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}}{k}=\frac{k_x+i\sigma k_y}{k^2},\tag{B4}$$

into Eq.(B3), and integrating over $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$ by using $k_x=k\cos\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $k_y=k\sin\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \frac{2\pi^2}{k^4}\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\sum_\sigma\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}^*_\sigma}{\partial R_x}\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_\sigma}{\partial R_x}-i\sigma\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}^*_\sigma}{\partial R_x}\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_\sigma}{\partial R_y}+i\sigma\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}^*_\sigma}{\partial R_y}\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_\sigma}{\partial R_x}+\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}^*_\sigma}{\partial R_y}\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_\sigma}{\partial R_y}\right)\\ &= 2\pi^2\sum_\sigma\mathcal{N}\int d\mathbf{X}d\mathbf{R}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}^*_\sigma\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}_\sigma\right)\cdot\frac{1}{k^4},\end{aligned}\tag{B5}$$

where we have used

$$\int d\mathbf{R}\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}^*_\sigma}{\partial R_x}\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_\sigma}{\partial R_y}-\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}^*_\sigma}{\partial R_y}\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_\sigma}{\partial R_x}\right)=0.\tag{B6}$$

Appendix C: Calculation of $\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}}\left[N_m(qr)\,\Omega_m^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]$

The Bessel function of the second kind $N_m(x)$ takes the following series power form at small x [38],

$$N_m(x)=-\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{s=0}^{m-1}\frac{(m-s-1)!)}{s!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2s-m}+\frac{2}{\pi}\ln\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)J_m(x)-\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}(-)^s\frac{\psi(s)+\psi(s+m+1)}{s!\,(s+m)!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2s+m},\tag{C1}$$

where $J_m(x)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind, and $\psi(\cdot)$ is the digamma function. Using the form of $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2$ in the polar coordinate, we find

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2\left[N_m(qr)\,\Omega_m^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]=\left[\left(\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\frac{m^2}{r^2}\right)N_m(qr)\right]\Omega_m^{(\sigma)}(\varphi). \tag{C2}$$

For $m\geq 1$, we may separate the most singular term of $N_m(x)$ at small x, i.e., $-2^m(m-1)!/\pi x^m$, and find

$$\left(\frac{1}{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\frac{m^2}{x^2}\right)\left[N_m(x)+\frac{2^m}{\pi}\cdot\frac{(m-1)!}{x^m}\right]=-N_m(x). \tag{C3}$$

Subsequently, we obtain

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2\left[N_m(qr)\,\Omega_m^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]=\left\{\left(\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\frac{m^2}{r^2}\right)\left[-\frac{2^m}{\pi}\cdot\frac{(m-1)!}{(qr)^m}\right]-q^2N_m(qr)\right\}\Omega_m^{(\sigma)}(\varphi). \tag{C4}$$

Using [26, 39]

$$\frac{d}{dr}\frac{1}{r^m}=-\frac{m}{r^{m+1}}+\frac{(-)^m}{m!}\delta^{(m)}(r), \tag{C5}$$

where $\delta^{(m)}(r)$ is the n-th derivative of the Dirac delta function $\delta(r)$, and $\delta^{(m)}(r)=(-)^m m!\delta(r)/r^m$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\frac{m^2}{r^2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r^m}\right)=-\frac{2m}{r^{m+1}}\delta(r), \tag{C6}$$

and then

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2\left[N_m(qr)\,\Omega_m^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]=\left[\frac{2^{m+1}}{\pi}\cdot\frac{m!}{q^m}\frac{\delta(r)}{r^{m+1}}-q^2N_m(qr)\right]\Omega_m^{(\sigma)}(\varphi), \tag{C7}$$

which finally yields Eq.(51).

Appendix D: Calculation details of Eqs.(54) and (56)

In this part of the appendix, we present the calculation details of the derivations of Eqs.(54) and (56). Let us look at Eq.(54) first. Using the identity (51), we easily find

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\pi^2\hbar^2q^2}{4M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)\,\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2\left[N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]\\=&\frac{\pi\hbar^2q}{M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\left[N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)\right]\left[\frac{\delta(r)}{r^2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]-\frac{\pi^2\hbar^2q^4}{4M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\left[N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)\right]\left[N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{D1}$$

Obviously, the two integrals of Eq.(D1) are both divergent. Since we know

$$N_1(qr)=-\frac{2}{\pi qr}+\frac{qr}{\pi}\left(\ln\frac{qr}{2}+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\right)+O(qr)^3 \tag{D2}$$

at $qr\sim 0$, the first integral of Eq.(D1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\pi\hbar^2q}{M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\left[N_1(qr)\,\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)\right]\left[\frac{\delta(r)}{r^2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]\\=&-\frac{2\hbar^2}{M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\frac{\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)}{r}\left[\frac{\delta(r)}{r^2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]+\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\left[r\ln\frac{qr}{2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)\right]\left[\frac{\delta(r)}{r^2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]+\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{2M}(2\gamma-1).\end{aligned} \tag{D3}$$

Using the Fourier transform of $\frac{\delta(r)}{r^2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{\delta(r)}{r^2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]=-i\sqrt{2\pi}e^{i\sigma\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}\frac{k}{2}, \tag{D4}$$

we obtain

$$-\frac{2\hbar^2}{M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\frac{\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)}{r}\left[\frac{\delta(r)}{r^2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]=-\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\frac{4\pi^2\hbar^2}{M}\int\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\left[1-J_0(k\epsilon)\right]$$
$$=-\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\frac{4\pi^2\hbar^2}{M}\int\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}$$
$$=-\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\frac{4\pi^2\hbar^2}{M}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[\int_0^\Lambda\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}+\int_\Lambda^\infty\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\right]$$
$$=\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[-\frac{\hbar^2\Lambda^2}{2M}-8\pi^2\int_\Lambda^\infty\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2M}\frac{1}{k^2}\right]. \tag{D5}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\left[r\ln\frac{qr}{2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)\right]\left[\frac{\delta(r)}{r^2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]=\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\frac{2\pi^2\hbar^2q^2}{M}\int\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}$$
$$\frac{-2\left[1-J_0(k\epsilon)\right]+k\epsilon J_1(k\epsilon)+\left[2J_1(k\epsilon)-k\epsilon J_0(k\epsilon)\right]k\epsilon\ln\frac{\epsilon q}{2}}{k^2}. \tag{D6}$$

Since

$$\frac{2\pi^2\hbar^2q^2}{M}\int\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{-2\left[1-J_0(k\epsilon)\right]}{k^2}$$
$$=\frac{2\pi^2\hbar^2q^2}{M}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[\int_0^\Lambda\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{-2+2J_0(k\epsilon)}{k^2}+\int_\Lambda^\infty\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{-2+2J_0(k\epsilon)}{k^2}\right]$$
$$=\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[-\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}\left(\gamma+\ln\frac{\epsilon\Lambda}{2}\right)-8\pi^2q^2\int_\Lambda^\infty\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2M}\frac{1}{k^4}\right], \tag{D7}$$

and

$$\frac{2\pi^2\hbar^2q^2}{M}\int\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{k\epsilon J_1(k\epsilon)+\left[2J_1(k\epsilon)-k\epsilon J_0(k\epsilon)\right]k\epsilon\ln\frac{q\epsilon}{2}}{k^2}=\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\ln\frac{\epsilon q}{2}\right), \tag{D8}$$

we find

$$\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\left[r\ln\frac{qr}{2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)\right]\left[\frac{\delta(r)}{r^2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]$$
$$=\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[-\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{2M}(2\gamma-1)-\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}\ln\frac{\Lambda}{q}-8\pi^2q^2\int_\Lambda^\infty\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2M}\frac{1}{k^4}\right], \tag{D9}$$

and then

$$\frac{\pi\hbar^2q}{M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\left[N_1(qr)\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)\right]\left[\frac{\delta(r)}{r^2}\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]$$
$$=\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[-\frac{\hbar^2\Lambda^2}{2M}-\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}\ln\frac{\Lambda}{q}-\int_\Lambda^\infty\frac{kdk}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2M}\left(\frac{8\pi^2}{k^2}+\frac{8\pi^2q^2}{k^4}\right)\right]. \tag{D10}$$

As to the second term of Eq.(D1), we have

$$-\frac{\pi^2\hbar^2q^4}{4M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\left[N_1(qr)\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)\right]\left[N_1(qr)\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)\right]=-\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}\left[\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\frac{\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}(\varphi)}{r}\frac{\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)}{r}+O(\epsilon q)^2\right]. \tag{D11}$$

Using the Fourier transform of $\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)/r$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}(\varphi)}{r}\right]=-i\sqrt{2\pi}\frac{e^{i\sigma\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}}}{k}, \tag{D12}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{\pi^2\hbar^2q^4}{4M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\left[N_1\left(qr\right)\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}\left(\varphi\right)\right]\left[N_1\left(qr\right)\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}\left(\varphi\right)\right]\\
=&-\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\frac{4\pi^2\hbar^2q^2}{M}\int\frac{kdk}{\left(2\pi\right)^2}\frac{1-J_0\left(k\epsilon\right)}{k^2}\\
=&-\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\frac{4\pi^2\hbar^2q^2}{M}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[\int_0^{\Lambda}\frac{kdk}{\left(2\pi\right)^2}\frac{1-J_0\left(k\epsilon\right)}{k^2}+\int_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\frac{kdk}{\left(2\pi\right)^2}\frac{1-J_0\left(k\epsilon\right)}{k^2}\right]\\
=&\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[-\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}\left(\gamma+\ln\frac{\epsilon\Lambda}{2}\right)-\int_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\frac{kdk}{\left(2\pi\right)^2}\frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2M}\frac{8\pi^2q^2}{k^4}\right]
\end{aligned}\tag{D13}$$

in the limit $\epsilon q\to 0$. Combining Eqs.(D10) and (D13), we finally obtain Eq.(54) in the maintext, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\pi^2\hbar^2q^2}{4M}\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}N_1\left(qr\right)\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}\left(\varphi\right)\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2\left[N_1\left(qr\right)\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}\left(\varphi\right)\right]\\
&\qquad=\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[-\frac{\hbar^2\Lambda^2}{2M}-\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}\ln\frac{\Lambda}{q}-\frac{\hbar^2q^2}{M}\left(\gamma+\ln\frac{\epsilon\Lambda}{2}\right)-\int_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\frac{kdk}{\left(2\pi\right)^2}\frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2M}\left(\frac{8\pi^2}{k^2}+\frac{16\pi^2q^2}{k^4}\right)\right].
\end{aligned}\tag{D14}$$

In the follows, let us look at Eq.(56). It is easily found

$$\mathbf{I}_2^{(\sigma\sigma')}=\int_{r<\epsilon}d\mathbf{r}\frac{\Omega_1^{(\sigma')*}\left(\varphi\right)}{r}\frac{\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}\left(\varphi\right)}{r}+O\left(\epsilon q\right)^2.\tag{D15}$$

Using the Fourier transform of $\Omega_1^{(\sigma)}\left(\varphi\right)/r$, i.e., Eq.(D12), we have

$$\mathbf{I}_2^{(\sigma\sigma')}=\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}4\pi^2\int\frac{kdk}{\left(2\pi\right)^2}\frac{1-J_0\left(k\epsilon\right)}{k^2}\tag{D16}$$

in the limit $\epsilon q\to 0$, and then $\mathbf{I}_2^{(\sigma\sigma')}$ can alternatively be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I}_2^{(\sigma\sigma')}&=\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[4\pi^2\int_0^{\Lambda}\frac{kdk}{\left(2\pi\right)^2}\frac{1-J_0\left(k\epsilon\right)}{k^2}+4\pi^2\int_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\frac{kdk}{\left(2\pi\right)^2}\frac{1-J_0\left(k\epsilon\right)}{k^2}\right]\\
&=\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[\gamma+\ln\frac{\epsilon\Lambda}{2}+4\pi^2\int_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\frac{kdk}{\left(2\pi\right)^2}\frac{1}{k^2}\right],
\end{aligned}\tag{D17}$$

where we have used

$$\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\int_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\frac{kdk}{\left(2\pi\right)^2}\frac{J_0\left(k\epsilon\right)}{k^2}=0.\tag{D18}$$

[1] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 885 (2008).

[2] S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1215 (2008).

[3] E. Braaten and H. W. Hammer, Physics Reports-Review Section of Physics Letters 428, 259 (2006).

[4] S. Tan, Annals of Physics 323, 2952 (2008); S. Tan, Annals of Physics 323, 2971 (2008); S. Tan, Annals of Physics 323, 2987 (2008).

[5] W. Zwerger, Ed., *The BCS-BEC Crossover and the Unitary Fermi Gas*, Col 836 of *Lecture Notes in Physics* (Springer, Berlin, 2011); Please see Chapter 6 for a brief review.

[6] M. Punk and W. Zwerger, Physical Review Letters 99, 170404 (2007).

[7] E. Braaten and L. Platter, Physical Review Letters 100, 205301 (2008); E. Braaten, D. Kang, and L. Platter, Physical Review A 78, 053606 (2008).

[8] S. Z. Zhang and A. J. Leggett, Physical Review A 79, 023601 (2009).
[9] W. Schneider and M. Randeria, Physical Review A 81, 021601 (2010).
[10] H. Hu, X. J. Liu, and P. D. Drummond, Epl 91, 20005 (2010).
[11] M. Valiente, N. T. Zinner, and K. Molmer, Physical Review A 84, 063626 (2011); M. Valiente, Physical Review A 85, 014701 (2012).
[12] F. Werner and Y. Castin, Physical Review A 86, 013626 (2012); F. Werner and Y. Castin, Physical Review A 86, 053633 (2012).
[13] J. T. Stewart, J. P. Gaebler, T. E. Drake, and D. S. Jin, Physical Review Letters 104, 235301 (2010).
[14] E. D. Kuhnle, H. Hu, X. J. Liu, P. Dyke, M. Mark, P. D. Drummond, P. Hannaford, and C. J. Vale, Physical Review Letters 105, 070402 (2010).
[15] Y. Sagi, T. E. Drake, R. Paudel, and D. S. Jin, Physical Review Letters 109, 220402 (2012).
[16] S. Hoinka, M. Lingham, K. Fenech, H. Hu, C. J. Vale, J. E. Drut, and S. Gandolfi, Physical Review Letters 110, 055305 (2013).
[17] C. A. Regal, C. Ticknor, J. L. Bohn, and D. S. Jin, Physical Review Letters 90, 053201 (2003).
[18] J. Zhang, E. G. M. van Kempen, T. Bourdel, L. Khaykovich, J. Cubizolles, F. Chevy, M. Teichmann, L. Tarruell, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, and C. Salomon, Phys. Rev. A 70, 030702(R) (2004).
[19] K. Gunter, T. Stoferle, H. Moritz, M. Kohl, and T. Esslinger, Physical Review Letters 95, 230401 (2005).
[20] J. P. Gaebler, J. T. Stewart, J. L. Bohn, and D. S. Jin, Physical Review Letters 98, 200403 (2007).
[21] Y. Ohashi, Physical Review Letters 94, 050403 (2005).
[22] T. L. Ho and R. B. Diener, Physical Review Letters 94, 090402 (2005).
[23] C. H. Cheng and S. K. Yip, Physical Review Letters 95, 070404 (2005).
[24] M. Iskin and C. de Melo, Physical Review Letters 96, 040402 (2006).
[25] Z. Idziaszek and T. Calarco, Physical Review Letters 96, 013201 (2006).
[26] Z. Idziaszek, Physical Review A 79, 062701 (2009).
[27] S. G. Peng, S. Q. Li, P. D. Drummond, and X. J. Liu, Physical Review A 83, 063618 (2011);S. G. Peng, X. J. Liu, H. Hu, and S. Q. Li, Physics Letters A 375, 2979 (2011).
[28] S.-G. Peng, S. Tan, and K. Jiang, Physical Review Letters 112, 250401 (2014);T.-Y. Gao, S.-G. Peng, and K. Jiang, Physical Review A 91, 043622 (2015).
[29] Z. H. Yu, J. H. Thywissen, and S. Z. Zhang, Physical Review Letters 115, 5, 135304 (2015);Z. Yu, J. H. Thywissen, and S. Zhang, Physical Review Letters 117, 019901 (2016).
[30] M. Y. He, S. L. Zhang, H. M. Chan, and Q. Zhou, Physical Review Letters 116, 045301 (2016).
[31] S. G. Peng, X. J. Liu, and H. Hu, Physical Review A 94, 063651 (2016).
[32] C. Luciuk, S. Trotzky, S. Smale, Z. Yu, S. Zhang, and J. H. Thywissen, Nat Phys 12, 599 (2016).
[33] E. Braaten, D. Kang, and L. Platter, Physical Review Letters 104, 223004 (2010).
[34] M. Barth and W. Zwerger, Annals of Physics 326, 2544 (2011).
[35] E. P. Wigner, Physical Review 98, 145 (1955).
[36] D. R. Phillips and T. D. Cohen, Phys. Lett. B 390, 7 (1997).
[37] H. W. Hammer and D. Lee, Phys. Lett. B 681, 500 (2009); H. W. Hammer and D. Lee, Annals Of Physics 325, 2212 (2010).
[38] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions With Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, (United States Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards,1972);
[39] R. Estrada and R. P. Kanwal, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 141, 195 (1989).